

20. (Currently Amended/Withdrawn) The guidance device for a domestic animal of claim [16] 17, wherein the primary collar section comprises a plurality of prong links operably connected to form a flexible chain.

21. (Currently Amended) The guidance device for a domestic animal of claim [16] 17, wherein the means for reversibly connecting comprises a non-swiveling snap fastener.

22. (Currently Amended/Withdrawn) The guidance device for a domestic animal of claim [16] 17, wherein the means for reversibly connecting comprises a spring biased clip.

REMARKS

In reply to the Election/Restriction Requirement dated March 14, 2005, the examiner has taken the position that the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I (directed to Figures 1 and 4), Species II (directed to Figures 2 and 5), Species III (directed to Figure 3) and Species IV (directed to claim 23 which is not depicted in the figures).

Applicant elects Species II, and further elects the single disclosed species of reversibly connecting means shown in Figure 2 of the drawing, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The examiner has indicated that currently claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 10 are generic. However, the applicant points out that claim 10 is a dependent claim which is based on independent claim 9. Applicant believes that it is claim 9 that should be included with those claims identified as generic.


The claims that applicant believes are readable on Species II are as follows: claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 21. Please note that claim 17 has been amended to correct for

a lack of antecedent basis deficiency and claims 18, 21, and 22 have been amended to make said claims dependent on independent claim 17 from claim 16 which was a clerical error. Applicant realizes that claims 20 and 22 which are sought to be amended are not part of the elected claims, but requests that these claims (20 and 22) be amended before they are restricted and withdrawn, so that a clerical correction is not later required. Applicant appreciates that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that the foregoing Amendment and Reply to Election/Restriction is fully responsive and further advances this case for examination and ultimately for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael R. McKenna
Registered Patent Attorney
Reg. No. 32,368

MICHAEL R. MCKENNA
500 West Madison Street
Suite 3800
Chicago, Illinois 60661
(312) 321-0123